preprint UAB-FT-353, gr-qc/9410041

arXiv:gr-qc/9410041v1 28 Oct 1994

SCATTERING PROCESSES AT THE PLANCK SCALE

C. O. LOUSTO[a]
Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, IFAE, Grupo de Física Teórica,
E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain. E-mail: lousto@ifae.es

and

N. SÁNCHEZ
Observatoire de Paris DEMIRM, UA 336 Laboratoire associé au CNRS,
61, Av. de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France.

Abstract: The ultrarelativistic limit of the Kerr - Newman geometry is studied in detail We find the corresponding gravitational shock wave background associated with this limit. Interestingly, this allows us to find the source of the Kerr - Newman geometry in the ultrarelativistic regime. We study the scattering of scalar fields in the gravitational shock wave geometries, and discuss the presence of the poles $iGs = n = 0, 1, 2, ...$, already present in the Aichelburg - Sexl metric. We compare this with the scattering by ultrarelativistic extended sources, for which such poles do not appear and with the scattering of fundamental strings.

We also study planckian energy string collisions in flat spacetime as the scattering of a string in the effective curved background produced by the others as the impact parameter b decreases. We find the effective energy density distribution $\sigma(\rho) \sim \exp\{-\rho^2/\Delta^2\}$, generated by these collisions. Two different regimes can be studied: intermediate impact parameters $x^d \ll b \ll \sqrt{\alpha' \ln s} \cong \Delta/2$, (x^d characterizing the string fluctuations) and large impact parameters, $b \gg \sqrt{\alpha' \ln s} \cong \Delta/2 \gg x^d$. The effective metric generated by these collisions is a gravitational shock wave of profile $f(\rho) \sim p\rho^{4-D}$, i.e. the Aichelburg - Sexl geometry for a point-like particle of momentum p for large b. For intermediate b, $f(\rho) \sim q\rho^2$, corresponding to an extended source of momentum q. The scattering matrix in this geometry and its implications for the string collision process are analysed. We

---

[a] Permanent Address: Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio, Casilla de Correo 67 - Sucursal 28, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina. E-mail: lousto@iafe.edu.ar

show that the poles $iGs = n$, $n = 0, 1, 2...$, characteristic of the scattering by the A - S geometry are absent here, due to the extended nature of the effective source.

We finally study the emergence of string instabilities in D - dimensional black hole spacetimes (Schwarzschild and Reissner - Nordström), and De Sitter space (in static coordinates to allow a better comparison with the black hole case). We solve the first order string fluctuations around the center of mass motion at spatial infinity, near the horizon and at the spacetime singularity. We find that the time components are always well behaved in the three regions and in the three backgrounds. The radial components are *unstable*: imaginary frequencies develop in the oscillatory modes near the horizon, and the evolution is like $(\tau - \tau_0)^{-P}$, $(P > 0)$, near the spacetime singularity, $r \to 0$, where the world - sheet time $(\tau - \tau_0) \to 0$, and the proper string length grows infinitely. In the Schwarzschild black hole, the angular components are always well - behaved, while in the Reissner - Nordström case they develop instabilities inside the horizon, near $r \to 0$ where the repulsive effects of the charge dominate over those of the mass. In general, whenever large enough repulsive effects in the gravitational background are present, string instabilities develop. In De Sitter space, all the spatial components exhibit instability. The infalling of the string to the black hole singularity is like the motion of a particle in a potential $\gamma(\tau - \tau_0)^{-2}$ where γ depends on the D spacetime dimensions and string angular momentum, with $\gamma > 0$ for Schwarzschild and $\gamma < 0$ for Reissner - Nordström black holes. For $(\tau - \tau_0) \to 0$ the string ends trapped by the black hole singularity.

1. Introduction

Recently, gravitational shock waves backgrounds have raised interest in the, context of both Field Theory and Strings[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12]. Besides their role in classical gravitation, as an exact class of solutions, these geometries are relevant at energies of the order of the Planck scale.

At energies of the order of the Planck scale, the picture of particles propagating in flat space - time is no longer valid, and one must take into account the curved space - time geometry created by the particles themselves. In other words, gravitational interactions are at least as important as the rest, and can not be neglected anymore as it is usually the case in particle physics. In this context, gravitational shock wave backgrounds play a relevant role as they are the metrics generated by ultrarelativistic particles. The Aichelburg - Sexl (A - S) geometry[13], is a typical example, as the ultrarelativistic limit of the Schwarzschild metric. The A - S metric was generalized to include the electromagnetic momentum of the particle, by obtaining the ultrarelativistic limit of the Reissner - Nordström solution[14]. In the paper 15 we find the ultrarelativistic limit of the Kerr - Newman geometry, to include the charge and spin of the particles.

In the elementary particle context in which we are studying these metrics, several interesting features are to be mentioned: Remarkable enough, the Klein - Gordon equation[1,2,14] and the string equations [3,6] have been exactly solved in these geometries. The scattering matrix in the A - S geometry exhibit poles at $iGs = n = 0, 1, 2, ...$, where s is the particle energy[1]. Such pole structure is also present in the two body scattering amplitude for the scalar ground state (tachyon) computed from strings[16]. It must be noticed that the presence of such poles in the S - matrix is associated to the point - like (structureless) character of the shock wave source. The contribution of small (zero) impact parameter yields in this case such poles. Recently, the present authors found the shock wave spacetimes generated by ultrarelativistic extended sources, as ultrarelativistic cosmic strings (with charge and spin), and other topological defects (as monopoles and domain walls). The scattering matrices of Klein - Gordon fields in this extended source geometries do not exhibit the Coulombian type poles characteristic of the point - like sources, (although, in the cosmic string case, the small s and small t behavior give the usual one - graviton exchange amplitude). In the case of the effective shock wave generated by Planckian energy string collisions,[6] the source is an extended one[7,17], and the scattering matrix does not exhibit such poles neither.

Another feature in this context is that, for both, point - like as well as extended sources, the exact S - matrix of the relativistic geometry coincides with the S - matrix of the weak field limit geometry of the static sources, in the large impact parameter regime. In other words, the S - matrices produced by the ultrarelativistic limit and the weak field limit of a given geometry coincide.

In the ultrarelativistic limit, the sources travel at the speed of light (i.e. $\gamma = (1 - v^2)^{-1/2} \rightarrow 0$) and are massless. In order to describe the non trivial behavior of the boosted gravitational field in this limit, the different parameters of interest (mass, electric, magnetic or color charges, spin) must be chosen to be γ - dependent and vanish in a specific way.

The mass goes to zero like $m = p\gamma^{-1}$, the charge like $Q = p_e\gamma^{-1/2}$ and the spin like $S = pa\gamma^{-1}$, keeping their respective momenta p, p_e, a fixed. It is the total spin (and not the spin per unit mass a) which becomes γ - dependent. The spin vanishes like the mass, whereas the charge vanishes slower.

Since an ultrarelativistic particle has only two possible spin polarizations, $\pm S$, in the direction of motion, we apply the Lorentz boost parallel to the spin. In order to apply a Lorentz boost, it is convenient to start with the metric expressed in Boyer - Lindquist type coordinates and then to take asymptotically Cartesian coordinates (as in eq (2) here). The limit $v \rightarrow c$ is subtle, although it is well defined in the sense of distributions functions. The method to compute this limit consists essentially of integrating over the null variable $u = z - t$ (the shock wave will be located at $u = 0$), then to take the limit $v \rightarrow c$ and finally derivate with respect to u. Thus, we obtain for the metric profile function $f(\rho)$ (see eq (9)), where we observe that the spin a^2 contribution couples to the kinematic momentum p only through even powers of $1/\rho$, whereas the coupling to the electromagnetic momentum p_e is through odd powers of $1/\rho$.

In the limit $v \rightarrow 1$, the terms corresponding to the crossed component $g_{t\varphi}$ go to zero as $\gamma^{-1}\delta(u)$. As can be seen from its multipole decomposition, boosting $g_{t\varphi}$ only adds a factor γ due to the boost in t (φ coordinates are not boosted). Thus, the limit will yield terms proportional to $\gamma^{-1}\delta(u)$.

Alternatively, metrics of this type can be found[18] by starting from flat space - time and generating a shock wave through an appropriate shift in the null coordinate $v = z + t$. In our problem here, since an explicit expression for the source of the Kerr geometry is not known, it is more convenient to take the problem all the way around. Thus, from the metric profile function $f(\rho)$ found here, we are able to obtain the source of the ultrarelativistic Kerr geometry (see eqs (14) - (15)). These results complete and enlarge our previous results presented in Ref. 19.

We have also studied the effect of the spin in the scattering of test particles and study the scattering of a Dirac field of spin 1/2 in this shock wave geometries.[15] The Dirac equation in this background can be diagonalized to have four uncoupled components of the spinor, each component fulfilling an equation similar to that of the scalar field. The S - matrix depends no only on the profile function $f(\rho)$, but also on its derivatives with respect to the transversal coordinates, due to the dependence on particle's spin. The poles appearing in the scattering amplitude of a scalar field in the Aichelburg - Sexl geometry survive, in some way, for the Dirac fields now considered. In the case of the ultrarelativistic Reissner - Nordström metric, the number of such poles is quadruplicated[14] and we expect that for the ultrarelativistic Kerr - Newman geometry this number will be still larger. Finally, we compared to and point out some features of the propagation and scattering of fundamental strings.

2. The Ultrarelativistic Kerr - Newman Geometry

The Kerr - Newman metric in Boyer - Lindquist coordinates reads[20]

$$ds^2 = -(\Delta - a^2 \sin^2 \theta) q^{-2} dt^2 - 2Mra \sin^2 \theta q^{-2} dt d\varphi + \frac{q^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + q^2 d\theta^2 +$$

$$+ \left[(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta \right] q^{-2} \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 \tag{1}$$

where

$$\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 + Q^2 \quad , \quad q^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta \ .$$

Having in mind to apply a Lorentz boost we write metric (1) in asymptotically Cartesian coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (r^2 + a^2)^{1/2} \sin\theta \cos\varphi \ , \\ y &= (r^2 + a^2)^{1/2} \sin\theta \sin\varphi \ , \\ z &= r \cos\theta \quad , \quad t = t \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

We now apply a Lorentz boost (with velocity v) in the z-direction (an ultrarelativistic particle have only two spin polarizations ($\pm S$), in the direction of motion)

$$\begin{gathered} \tilde{t} = \gamma(t + vz) \quad , \quad \tilde{z} = \gamma(z + vt) \quad , \\ \tilde{y} = y \quad , \quad \tilde{x} = x \quad , \\ \gamma = (1 - v^2)^{-1/2} \end{gathered} \tag{3}$$

The limit $v \to 1$ in the resulting metric is a delicate point, but we can extract a meaningful expression from it by letting M , Q and S be γ - dependent and going to zero as

$$M = p\gamma^{-1} \quad , \quad Q^2 = p_e^2 \gamma^{-1} \quad , \quad S = aM = ap\gamma^{-1} \ . \tag{4}$$

Thus, after use of eqs(2)-(4) in metric(1) we find

$$ds^2 = -d\tilde{t}^2 + d\tilde{z}^2 + d\tilde{y}^2 + d\tilde{x}^2 + (d\tilde{t} - v d\tilde{z})^2 \lim_{v \to 1} \left[\gamma^2 (\Delta g_{\tilde{t}\tilde{t}} + \Delta g_{\tilde{z}\tilde{z}}) \right] + O(\gamma^{-1}) \ , \tag{5}$$

where

$$\begin{gathered} \Delta g_{\tilde{t}\tilde{t}} = \frac{2M\tilde{r} - Q^2}{\tilde{r}^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta} \ , \\ \Delta g_{\tilde{z}\tilde{z}} = \frac{(2M\tilde{r} - Q^2)(\tilde{r}^2 + a^2)\cos^2 \theta}{(\tilde{r}^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta)(\tilde{r}^2 - 2M\tilde{r} + a^2 + Q^2)} \ , \end{gathered} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\cos\theta = z(\tilde{z}) / \tilde{r} \ ,$$

$$\tilde{r}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left[z(\tilde{z})^2 + \rho^2 + \sqrt{(z^2(\tilde{z}) + \rho^2)^2 + 4a^2 z^2(\tilde{z})}\right] \ , \tag{7}$$

$$\rho^2 = \tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{y}^2 - a^2 \ .$$

Let us notice that the terms corresponding to $g_{t\varphi}$ in the limit $v \to 1$ go to zero as $\gamma^{-1}\delta(\tilde{t} - \tilde{z})$. This is sketched in the appendix of Ref. 15.

The limits appearing in eq(5) are computed explicitly in the Appendix of Ref. 15 giving rise to a shock wave metric

$$ds^2 = du\ dv - f(\rho)\delta(u)du^2 + d\tilde{y}^2 + d\tilde{x}^2 \ , \tag{8}$$

where

$$f(\rho) = -8p \ln \rho - \frac{3}{2}\pi p_e^2 \rho^{-1} + p \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m (a^2/\rho^2)^m - \pi p_e^2 \rho^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_m (a^2/\rho^2)^m \tag{9}$$

where $u = \tilde{z} - \tilde{t}$ and $v = \tilde{z} + \tilde{t}$ are the usual null coordinates and the coefficients B_m and C_m are numerical factors which are found in the Appendix of Ref. 15

$$B_m = 4 \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-)^{m-k} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-)^j \begin{pmatrix} m-1 \\ j \end{pmatrix} \left\{ \frac{A_{k,2m-2k+1/2}}{2j+2m+1} + \frac{A_{k,2m-2k+3/2}}{2j+2m+2} \right\} \ , \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_m = &\sum_{k=0}^{m} (-)^{m-k} 4^{-m} \left\{ \frac{A_{k,2m-2k+1}}{(2m)!} (4m-4)!! \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{2m-2j-1}{2m+2j+1} + \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{A_{k,2m-2k+2}}{2(2m+1)!} (4m+1)!! \prod_{j=0}^{m} \frac{2m-2j+1}{2m+2j+1} \right\} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The metric(5) represents the gravitational field of a boosted particle of mass M, charge Q and spin S in the ultrarelativistic limit $v \to 1$ when all these three quantities go to zero keeping their respective associated momenta (p, p_e , and a) fixed. The ρ dependence of each contribution is different. The spin contribution couples to the kinetic momentum only through even negative powers of ρ whereas the coupling to the charge is through odd negative powers of ρ.

The spacetime is flat everywhere except on the null plane orthogonal to the direction of propagation and has a $\delta(u)$-behaviour characteristic of such light-like contractions.

In the metric (8)-(9), the condition $\rho^2 = \tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{y}^2 - a^2 \geq 0$ represents that this boosted solution “remembers” the ring singularity of the original Kerr metric.

Let us notice that the profile function (9) remains invariant after a change in the orientation of the spin, i.e. $a \to -a$. This is due to the fact that in the ultrarelativistic limit $g_{t\varphi} \to 0$, which is the only spin - direction dependent term.

We should also point out that the boosted geometry (8)-(9), has $M^2 = p^2\gamma^{-2} < Q^2 + a^2 = p_e^2\gamma^{-1} + a^2$ when $\gamma \to \infty$. This means that metric(1) represents not a black hole, but a point-like particle at the irremovable singularity $r = 0$. This is in agreement with the interpretation and the elementary particle context in which we are using this geometry: the ratio $(Q^2+a^2)/M^2$ is always bigger than one (This is not the case, of course, for astrophysical objects). The solutions representing black holes with $M^2 > Q^2 + a^2$ do not exist in the ultrarelativistic limit.

It is worth to remark that recently[21], only the first term of eq(9) was obtained for the ultrarelativistic limit of the Kerr metric. The authors of [21] do not reach to find the additional powers of ρ proportional to B_m, because they set $u = 0$ before taking the singular limit $v \to 1$. If we had made this in eqs (47) and (53) all the additional terms would had gone to zero, but in this problem one has to take a double limit, $v \to 1$ and $u \to 0$, which must be treated in a distributional sense, that is, as we have done here, in the Appendix of Ref. 15. The final result will indeed be that given by eqs(9)-(11).

3. The Ultrarelativistic Energy - Momentum Tensor

The metric(8) can also be obtained from flat spacetime by generating a gravitational shock wave through a shift in the null coordinate v, following on the lines of the procedure developed by Dray and 't Hooft[18]. This procedure consist of solving the Einstein equations for a null source representing a particle travelling at the speed of light. This procedure can be generalized to D - dimensional curved backgrounds including sources and cosmological constant[22,23].

This formalism will allow us to study the gravitational shock waves in a curved background:

$$ds^2 = 2A(u, v')dudv' + g(u, v')h_{ij}(x^k)dx^i dx^j \ ; \tag{12}$$

solution of Einstein equations without shock wave.

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} \tag{13}$$

Then, let us make the following ansätze for the metric solution of Einstein eqs., including the shock wave as source:

$$ds^2 = 2A(u, v)du[dv - f(x^k)\delta(u)du] + g(u, v)h_{ij}(x^k)dx^i dx^j \tag{14}$$

The source is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = T^{(b)}_{\mu\nu} + T^{(p)}_{\mu\nu} \ ,$$

where

$$T^{(p)}_{uu} = \sigma(x^k)\delta(u) \ , \tag{15}$$

The proposed metric (14) will be a solution of Einstein equations if:

$$\partial_v A(0,v) = 0 = \partial_v g(0,v) = 0 = T_{vv}(0,v) \ , \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{A}{g}\nabla^2_{D-2} f - (D-2)\frac{g_{,uv}}{2g} f = \sigma(x^k) \tag{17}$$

both evaluated at $u = 0$. Examples of application in a flat background, i.e. $A = \frac{1}{2}$ and $g = 1$, can be computed for an uncharged particle with energy-momentum tensor given by $T_{uu} = p\delta(\rho)\delta(u)$. Plugging this expression into(17):

$$\nabla^2_\rho f = 16\pi p \delta(\rho) \ . \tag{18}$$

we find the solution to be

$$f(\rho) = 8p \ln \rho \ . \tag{19}$$

i.e., the Aichelburg - Sexl metric. The cases of a charged and spinning particle is discussed in Refs. 14 and 15.

This method allows to obtain the metric function $f(\rho)$ given the $T_{\mu\nu}$ corresponding to the ultrarelativistic particle; in the Kerr-Newman case, however, it is more convenient to take the problem all the way around since an analytic expression for the exact source of the Kerr black hole remains unknown. Thus, given $f(\rho)$ by the expression (9) we can find $T_{\mu\nu}$, which in our case has only one component different from zero

$$T_{uu} = \delta(u)\nabla^2_\perp f(\rho) = \delta(u)\rho^{-1}\partial_\rho(\rho\partial_\rho f) \tag{20}$$

Plugging (9) into this equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_{uu} = \delta(u)\Big\{ & p\delta(\rho) + 4p\rho^{-2}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^2 B_m (a^2/\rho^2)^m + \\ & + \frac{3}{2}\pi p_e^2 \rho^{-3} + \pi p_e^2 \rho^{-3}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(2m+1)^2 C_m (a^2/\rho^2)^m \Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Here we can identify the first addend as the source of an ultrarelativistic particle with momentum p. The third addend, as we have shown[14], corresponds to the contribution of the electromagnetic field generated by a point charge boosted to the speed of light. The other two contributions are the new terms which bring the effect of rotation. Clearly, we can distinguish between them: one is the effect of the Kerr metric (proportional to the kinetic momentum p) and the other is the effect of the electromagnetic field in rotation (proportional to p_e).

Let us observe that the term coming from the Kerr metric can be written as

$$T^{Kerr}_{uu} = \sigma(\rho)\delta(u) \tag{22}$$

Which allows to be interpreted as a “shell” of null fluid moving in the v direction with an axially symmetric surface energy density given by

$$\sigma(\rho) = p\left\{\delta(\rho) + 4\rho^{-2}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^2 B_m (a^2/\rho^2)^m\right\} \tag{23}$$

Of course, this expression for T_{uu} is the Kerr's source already boosted to the speed of light. We can not find uniquely from it the non-boosted $T_{\mu\nu}^{Kerr}$ (subject which would deserve the effort), because some information was lost in the process of taking the limit $v \to c$. Remarkably enough Balasin and Nachbagauer[24] in a series of papers have obtained the energy momentum tensor-distributions by using the Kerr- Schild decomposition of the metric successively for the Schwarzschild, Kerr and Kerr-Newman geometries.

4. The Metric of Ultrarelativistic Particles and Extended Sources

As we have seen, the metric created by an ultrarelativistic source can be described by

$$ds^2 = dudv + f(x^i)\delta(u)du^2 + dx^i dx^j \quad , \quad i,j = 2,3,.....D-1 \tag{24}$$

where x^i are the coordinates transversal to the motion which takes place in the plane u, v.

$$u = x^1 - t \quad , \quad v = x^1 + t$$

This represents a gravitational shock wave located at the null plane $u = 0$. Outside the plane $u = 0$ this metric is flat. It is completely characterized by the function $f(x_\perp)$. For an uncharged and spinless point particle of momentum p in four dimensions

$$f(x_\perp) = 8Gp\ln\rho \quad , \quad \rho^2 = \sum_{i=2}^{3} x^i x^i \tag{25}$$

This is the Aichelburg - Sexl (A - S) metric[13]. This metric can be obtained by applying a Lorentz boost to the Schwarzschild geometry with the mass going to zero as $M = p\gamma^{-1} \quad , \quad \gamma^{-2} = (1 - v^2)$ and finally, taking the velocity tending to the speed of light ($v \to 1$). By the same method it can be obtained the form of the function f when one starts from a particle originally endowed of charge[14] (Reissner - Nordström metric) and spin[19,15] (Kerr - Newman metric)

$$f(\rho) = -8Gp\ln\rho - \frac{3}{2}\pi p_b^2\frac{1}{\rho} + p\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\left(\frac{a^2}{\rho^2}\right)^n + \frac{\pi p_b^2}{\rho}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n\left(\frac{a^2}{\rho^2}\right)^n \tag{26}$$

where p_b is the impulse due to the electromagnetic field and a is the intrinsic angular momentum per unit mass associated to the particle. B_n and C_n are determined numerical constants.

There is an equivalent method[18,22] to obtain $f(\rho)$. This consists of writing down the Einstein equations for a metric like (24). This ansatz reduces the Einstein equations to only one relevant linear equation

$$\delta(u). \bigtriangledown^2_{x_\perp} f(x_\perp) = 2T_{uu} \tag{27}$$

T_{uu} being the only non zero component of the matter source in the ultrarelativistic limit. For an infinite thin shell of matter propagating at the speed of light: $T_{uu} = \sigma(x_\perp)\delta(u)$, $\sigma(x_\perp)$ being the matter density of the extended source.

Let us suppose now that instead of a point - like *particle* we proceed to boost a *cosmic string*. What we would obtain as result? The answer is[25] again a metric like (24), but now $f(x_\perp) = f(y)$. i.e. the function f will only depend on the distance y perpendicular to the string instead of the radial distance to the particle ρ, which is reasonable, if we take into account the symmetries of the problem. By replacing in eq (27) the expression for the $T_{\mu\nu}$ corresponding to cosmic strings, we find[25]

$$f(y) = 2p_l \mid y \mid \quad , \tag{28}$$

for *gauge* or *local* cosmic strings laying along the $\mathbf{z}$ axis. We have used that $T^t_t = T^z_z = \mu\delta(x)\delta(y)$, and when boosted in the $\mathbf{x}$ direction with $v \to 1$ this $T_{\mu\nu}$ reduces to

$$T_{uu} = p_l \delta(y)\delta(u) \quad . \tag{29}$$

It is worth to remark that for a local string in a D - dimensional spacetime, we had obtained:

$$f(y) = \frac{4Gq\Gamma[(D-5)/2]}{(2\pi)^{(D-5)/2}} \mid \vec{y}_{D-3} \mid^{5-D} \ , \tag{30}$$

where $\vec{y}_{D-3}$ is the $D-3$ dimensional vector perpendicular to the string and to the direction of motion. Eq(30) gives the same result than for the case of an ultrarelativistic particle in $D-1$ dimensional spacetime (Aichelburg - Sexl metric, see eq(26)).

The case of *global cosmic strings* with energy momentum tensor given by

$$T^t_t = T^z_z = T^r_r = T^\theta_\theta = -\frac{\mu}{2r^2} \tag{31}$$

when boosted at $v \to 1$ gives

$$T_{uu} = -p_g \mid y \mid^{-1} \delta(u) \quad , \quad y \neq 0 \ . \tag{32}$$

This, from eq (27) yields

$$f(y) = p_g \mid y \mid (ln \mid y \mid -1) \quad , \quad y \neq 0. \tag{33}$$

For the purposes of further discussion, it is interesting to see the metric generated by *Domain Walls*. Their formation is associated to a discrete symmetry breaking. For a wall located in the x - y plane, the energy momentum tensor can be represented by[25]

$$T^0_0 = T^x_x = T^y_y = \sigma\delta(z) \qquad , \qquad T^z_z = 0 \tag{34}$$

where σ is the energy scale of symmetry breaking corresponding to domain walls.

After boosting in the $\mathbf{z}$ - direction and by taking

$$\sigma = p_\sigma \gamma^{-1} \quad , \tag{35}$$

in the ultrarelativistic limit we have

$$T_{uu} = p_\sigma \delta(u) \qquad , \qquad u = z - t \quad , \tag{36}$$

and all other components vanishing. The metric function is given by

$$f(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} p_\sigma \rho^2 \tag{37}$$

5. The Scattering of Quantum Fields by these Gravitational Shock Waves

Let us study now the Klein-Gordon equation in the ultrarelativistic Reissner - Nordström geometry discussed before. This describes the following physical process: the scattering of two spinless particles (1 and 2) of masses m_1 , $m_2 = m$; both being $\ll m_{pl}$, and charges $e_1 = 0$, $e_2 = e$, at energies of the order or larger than Planck mass m_{pl}.

Following Ref. 1 we choose a frame where only one of the particles (particle 2) has an energy of the order of m_{pl}. Therefore the particle 2 is ultrarelativistic momenta p and p_e respectevely and the space-time around it is described by generalized AS geometry discussed above. (The motion of particle 2 is taken here along the x axis with speed +1). Particle 1 is a test particle since its energy is much smaller than m_{pl}. Its dynamics is described by the Klein-Gordon equation in the geometry of Eq.(24).

By writing the wave function as

$$\Psi(u, v, x^k) = \exp(-i\omega v/4)\Phi(u, x^k) \ , \tag{38}$$

we have (since v is a cyclic variable)

$$\partial_u \Phi = \left[-i\omega/4 f(x^k)\delta(u) + i/\omega(\nabla^2_\perp - m^2)\right] \Phi \ . \tag{39}$$

Let us take an incoming plane wave for $u < 0$. Thus, for $u > 0$, the continuous regularization produces the following outcoming wave function[9]

$$\Phi_{>}(u, x^k) = \int dp_{\perp}^{D-2} \exp\left\{ i\vec{p}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{x}_{\perp} - iu/\omega(p_{\perp}^2 + m^2) \right\} S(k_{\perp}, p_{\perp}, \omega) \tag{40}$$

where

$$S(k_{\perp}, p_{\perp}, \omega) = (2\pi)^{2-D} \int dx_{\perp}^{D-2} \exp\left[i(\vec{k}_{\perp} - \vec{p}_{\perp}) \cdot \vec{x}_{\perp} + i\varphi_D(x^k) \right] \ , \tag{41}$$

$S(k_{\perp}, p_{\perp}, \omega)$ is the S-matrix which transforms an incoming state with momentum $(k_{\perp}, \omega)$ into an outgoing state of momentum $(p_{\perp}, \omega)$. $\varphi_D(x^k)$ is the phase shift suffered by the incoming wave, that happens to be the only relevant effect since there is not particle creation.

$$\varphi_D(x^k) = \frac{\omega}{4} f(x^k) \ .$$

As examples of application of these results we can compute the S-matrix of a profile function $f(\rho)$ corresponding to the Aichelburg - Sexl metric. In this case we obtain[1]

$$S^{AS}(s, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{4}{\mid t \mid} \right)^{iG(s-m^2)} \frac{\Gamma[1 - iG(s - m^2)]}{\Gamma[iG(s - m^2)]} + \delta(\vec{k}_{\perp} - \vec{p}_{\perp}) \ . \tag{42}$$

where

$$Gs = \omega p + Gm^2 \quad , \quad t = - \mid \vec{k}_{\perp} - \vec{p}_{\perp} \mid \ .$$

It can be seen that there is no particle production[10] (the Bogoliubov coefficients β_{kp}=0).

S^{cont} exhibits an infinite sequence of imaginary poles in

$$G(s - m^2) = -in \ , \ n = 1, 2, 3, .. \tag{43}$$

We can observe a similarity between eq (42) and the Veneziano amplitude for strings.

In the same way, we can compute the S-matrix for the profile corresponding to the Reissner - Nordström metric[14].

This case contains the later and, in fact, it can be written as a superposition

$$S(s, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left[\frac{iG(s - m^2)}{4p} \right]^n S^{\alpha=0} \left[iG(s - m^2) + n/2, t \right] \ . \tag{44}$$

This series exhibits an infinite sequence of imaginary poles in

$$iG(s - m^2) = in/2 \quad , \quad n \text{ integer} \ . \tag{45}$$

We thus observe the very interesting feature that the electromagnetic momentum p_e, increases the number of poles by a factor four. From the residues of these poles, we see that for $p_e = 0$, the possible angular momenta for the bound state "resonances" are restricted by n. For $p_e \neq 0$, whatever the resonance be, all angular momenta are included (all spin are allowed).

6. Background Metric for the Scattering of Superstrings. Large and Intermediate Impact Parameters

Amati, Ciafaloni and Veneziano[4] have obtained an expression for the scattering amplitude of superstring collisions in flat space time, valid for high energies (i.e. energies much larger that $1/\sqrt{\alpha'}$). The high energy regime investigated is that of large effective coupling $g^2\alpha' s/\sqrt{\alpha'}^{D-4}$ and small loop expansion parameter $g^2/\sqrt{\alpha'}^{D-4}$, $(\alpha' g^2 = 16\pi G)$. By resumming in the eikonal approximation, the leading behaviour of the string loop diagrams in not compactified D-dimensional flat spacetime, they found an S-matrix:

$$S = \exp\left(2i\int_0^\pi : a(s, b + \hat{x}^u(\sigma_u, 0) - \hat{x}^d(\sigma_d, 0)) : \frac{d\sigma_u d\sigma_d}{\pi^2}\right) \tag{46}$$

where b is the impact parameter between the center of mass of the two colliding strings. x^u and x^d are the oscillations around the center of mass of the string at rest; s is the usual Mandelstam variable and a is the string tree amplitude

$$\begin{aligned} a(s,b) =& \frac{Gs}{2\pi^{D/2-2}} b^{4-D} \int_0^{g(b,s)} dt e^{-t} t^{D/2-3} \\ g(b,s) =& \frac{b^2}{4\alpha'[ln(s) - i\pi/2]} = \frac{b^2}{\Delta^2} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

where the above integral can be re-expressed in terms of the incomplete gamma function $\Gamma\left[D/2-2, g(b,s)\right]$ and α' is the usual string slope.

On the other hand, the scattering of free strings[6,2] in the shock wave backgrounds (24) is exactly solvable. For a free superstring in this background the S-matrix has the expression[2]

$$S = \exp\left(\frac{i}{2\pi} p^u \int_0^\pi d\sigma f\left(x(\sigma, 0)\right)\right) \tag{48}$$

where p^u is the impulse associated to the string, σ is the world sheet spatial coordinate and $x(\sigma, \tau = 0)$ is the projection of the D-dimensional superstring coordinate on the plane $u = p^u \tau = 0$ where the shock wave is located (perpendicular to the motion of the shock wave ultrarelativistic source).

Now, if we want both S-matrices describe the same phenomena, we equate expressions (48) and (46). One obtains in this way the form of the function f appearing in the metric(24)

$$f(\rho) = \frac{8q}{s}\int_0^\pi : a(s, \rho - \hat{x}^d(\sigma_d, 0)) : \frac{d\sigma_d}{\pi} \tag{49}$$

where q is the impulse associated to the shock wave and $s = 2p^u q$.

Plugging eq (47) into (49) we obtain for $f(\rho)$:

$$f(\rho) = C\int_0^\pi \frac{d\sigma}{\pi} : b^{4-D} \int_0^{g(\rho)} dt e^{-t} t^{\frac{D-6}{2}} : \tag{50}$$

where

$$g(\rho) = \frac{b^2}{4\alpha'(ln(s) - i\pi/2)} \quad , \quad C = \frac{4Gq}{2\pi^{D/2-2}} \quad , \quad b = \rho - x^d(\sigma, 0) \tag{51}$$

In the regime studied here, b never goes to zero ($b \gg x^d \sim$ string size). In the regime of large impact parameters described by

$$x^d \ll \sqrt{\alpha' \ln s} \ll b \quad , \tag{52}$$

where s is the usual Mandelstam variable and x^d the characteristic size of string fluctuations, the effective geometry generated by flat space string collisions is the Aichelburg - Sexl (A - S) geometry. This is the gravitational shock wave produced by an ultrarelativistic uncharged and spinless point particle in D dimensions.

We investigate here the regime

$$x^d \ll b \ll \sqrt{\alpha' \ln s} \tag{53}$$

In this regime (let us call it small-intermediate b), b is still much bigger than the characteristic size of string excitations, so that strings do not superpose each other. We find that it is possible to associate an effective curved metric to the string collision process in this regime. This is a gravitational shock wave, but with a profile function f given in $D = 4$ by

$$f(\rho) \simeq \frac{C}{(D-2)\Delta^{D-2}}\rho^2 \quad , \quad \Delta^2 = 4\alpha'(\ln(s) - i\pi/2) \quad , \quad C = \frac{4Gq}{2\pi^{D/2-2}} \quad \text{(see below)} \ . \tag{54}$$

This metric is generated by an extended source with energy density distribution

$$\sigma(\rho) = \frac{2C}{\Delta^{D-2}} \exp\{-\rho^2/\Delta^2\} \ , \tag{55}$$

q being the kinematic momentum. This dependence on ρ in f and σ is characteristic of the string collision process at intermediate b, and reproduces at first order other simpler extended sources as domain walls[25] or "homogeneous beams"[26,7]. Notice also the difference with the point-like particle A - S geometry, for which $f(\rho) = 8Gp \ln \rho$ (in four dimensions) and $\sigma(\rho) = 8Gp\delta(\rho)$, ($p$ being the momentum of the particle). The scattering collision that generates the shock wave no longer behaves as an effective point-like source in the scattering at the small - intermediate b.

We study the scattering of test particles and fields by this geometry. The deflection angle is (in D=4)

$$\Theta = \begin{cases} 8Gp/b & \text{for large } b \\ 4Gqb/\Delta^2 & \text{for intermediate } b. \end{cases} \tag{56}$$

The S - matrix of Klein - Gordon fields in this geometry is analysed in order to describe some features of the ultra - high energy string - string scattering as one goes along decreasing impact parameters b. The whole picture is depicted in the Figure 1 of ref. 17. In this scattering matrix, the poles $iGs = n + 1$, $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ do not appear. Such poles, appearing in the S - matrix of a particle (or string) in the A - S geometry, arise because of the extrapolated use of the A - S geometry down to small impact parameters $0 \leq b \leq x^d$ (including $b = 0$). For intermediate b, deviations from the A - S geometry are important and, on the other hand, for very small b ($0 \leq b \leq x^d$), the use of the external metric approximation is not valid.

Let us study this function $f(\rho)$ in the case where

$$\rho \gg [\alpha' ln(s)]^{1/2} \gg x^d \quad . \tag{57}$$

This case corresponds to the scattering of superstrings at very high energies , but with large impact parameter. As ρ is large, the integral in the variable t in eq (50), can be taken between zero and infinity (due to the exponential in the integrand). Also, as $\rho \gg x^d(\sigma)$ we can take b^{4-D} out the integral. Then,

$$f(\rho) = C\Gamma\left(D/2 - 2\right)\rho^{4-D} \quad , \tag{58}$$

which corresponds to the A - S metric (uncharged and spinless particle) in D dimensions.

The other limit we want to study is

$$[\alpha' ln(s)]^{1/2} \gg \rho \gg x^d(\sigma) \quad , \tag{59}$$

which in some sense represents the opposite to the last limit studied, because it corresponds to the scattering of strings at very high energies and at smaller (intermediate, say) impact parameters (although not so small to superpose the superstrings each other). The regime given by eq (59) means that the impact parameters are smaller than those considered in the regime of eq (57), but still bigger than the characteristic size of fundamental string excitations.

Here, it is convenient to analyse the effective or equivalent energy - momentum tensor, T_{uu}, instead of the metric function $f(\rho)$. To do this we use eq (27)

$$T_{uu}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(u)\frac{1}{\rho^{D-3}}\partial_\rho\left(\rho^{D-3}\partial_\rho f(\rho)\right) = \frac{2C\delta(u)\exp\{-\rho^2/\Delta^2\}}{\Delta^{D-2}} = R_{uu} \tag{60}$$

This expression is exact within the approximations posed to obtain (50). Here, can be observed clearly the meaning the regimes (57) and (59) that we have considered. In the limit (57), $T_{uu} \to 0$, which characterizes the Aichelburg - Sexl solution (represented by a $\delta(\rho)$). While in the limit (59), (for s fixed) $T_{uu} \sim$ constant (Let us observe that this is the case of domain walls).

In terms of a metric to which we apply a Lorentz boost with $v \to 1$, $T_{uu} \sim$ constant would represent a particle that develops a non - localized interaction term. To this $T_{\mu\nu}$ corresponds a metric function

$$f(\rho) \simeq \frac{C}{(D-2)\Delta^{D-2}}\rho^2 \quad , \quad C = \frac{4Gq}{2\pi^{D/2-2}} \quad , \quad \rho < \Delta, \tag{61}$$

which differs from the Aichelburg - Sexl metric (see eq(58)). This new dependence arises as a consequence of the non-point-like interaction of strings in the small - intermediate impact parameter regime. One expects that the superstring collision that generates the effective shock wave geometry no longer behaves as an effective point like source when studying the scattering at smaller impact parameters. It is worth to remark that the energy density (60) is proper of the scattering of fundamental strings. It contains the homogeneous beam approximation[26,7]

$$\sigma_h = \begin{cases} \sigma_0 & \text{if } \rho < \Delta \\ 0 & \text{if } \rho > \Delta. \end{cases}$$

It is also worth to point out that the presence of this energy density is enough to remove the so called 't Hooft poles[1,27]. This displays the "softener" character of fundamental strings, already noted in other computations within the theory of fundamental strings.

7. On the Universality of 't Hooft's Poles

Let us consider now the S - matrix of Klein - Gordon fields in the spacetime geometry of eq(24) in four dimensions. The S - matrix is given by[3]

$$S(\mathbf{k}_\perp, \mathbf{p}_\perp, \omega) = \int \frac{d\rho}{2\pi}\rho J_0(\mid \mathbf{k}_\perp - \mathbf{p}_\perp \mid \rho) e^{\frac{-i\omega}{4} f(\rho)} \quad , \tag{62}$$

In order to use this S - matrix to describe some of the features of the ultra high - energy string collisions, the integral in this context must be understood as the sum of at least three integrals

$$S = S_I + S_{II} + S_{III} = \int_0^{\rho_1} + \int_{\rho_1}^{\rho_2} + \int_{\rho_2}^{\infty} \tag{63}$$

In the last integral (large impact parameter regime), we can use the A - S metric, i.e. $f_{III}(\rho) = 8Gp\ln(\rho)$. In the second integral (intermediate region), $f_{II}(\rho) \simeq \frac{2Gq}{\Delta^2}\rho^2$ must be plugged in. Unfortunately, it seems not easy to find a closed expression for the second integral, while for the first region the use of the external effective metric approximation is not valid (the collision is so close that strings "touch" each other). The whole picture is depicted in Figure 1 of ref. 17. The poles $iGs = n+1$, $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ appearing in the S - matrix of a particle (or string) in the A - S geometry arise because one usually extends the third integral down to impact parameters $\rho_2 = 0$.

This integral is given by

$$S_{III}(s, t, \rho_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\rho_2}^{\infty} d\rho \rho^{1-2iGs} J_0(\rho\sqrt{-t}) \tag{64}$$

where $t = - \mid \mathbf{k}_\perp - \mathbf{p}_\perp \mid^2$, $Gs = \omega p$ and we have taken $f_{III}(\rho) = 8Gp \ln \rho$. Then, we find

$$S_{III} = \frac{1}{2\pi}(-t)^{iGs-1}\{-2iGs\rho_2 J_0(\rho_2) S_{-2iGs,-1}(\rho_2) + \rho_2 J_1(\rho_2) S_{1-2iGs,0}(\rho_2)\} \tag{65}$$

where J_0 and J_1 are Bessel functions and

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = s_{\alpha,\beta}(z) + \tag{66}$$

$$+2^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}) \left[\sin(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}\pi) J_\alpha(z) - \cos(\frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2}\pi) N_\alpha(z)\right]$$

$S_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ and $s_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ are Lommel's functions[28] and can be related to hypergeometric series

$$s_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \frac{z^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+\beta+1)(\alpha-\beta+1)} \quad {}_1F_2\left(1; \frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta+3); \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta+3); -z^2/4\right) \quad , \tag{67}$$

$$N_\alpha(z) = \csc(\alpha\pi)[J_\alpha(z)\cos(\alpha\pi) - J_{-\alpha}(z)] \quad .$$

For $\rho_2 \to 0$, eq(65) gives the A - S scattering amplitude[1,2]. For any ρ_2 finite and non zero, S_{III} do not have the poles at $iGs = n+1, \quad n = 0, 1, ...$ For the purposes of seeing this it is more convenient to make the decomposition: $\int_{\rho_2}^\infty = \int_0^\infty - \int_0^{\rho_2}$ in eq(64). The first term is given by[1,2]

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} 2^{1-2iGs}(-t)^{iGs-1}\frac{\Gamma(1-iGs)}{\Gamma(iGs)} \tag{68}$$

while the second term yields

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{\rho_2} d\rho \rho^\mu J_0(\rho\sqrt{-t}) = \frac{1}{2\pi}(-t)^{-(\mu+1)/2}\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k}{4^k(k!)^2}\frac{\rho_2^{\mu+2k+1}}{\mu+2k+1} \quad , \quad \mu = 1 - 2iGs \tag{69}$$

where we have used

$$J_0(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \frac{z^{2k}}{4^k(k!)^2} \tag{70}$$

Eq(69) have poles at $\mu = -(2k+1)$, i.e. $iGs = n+1, \quad n = 0, 1, ...$, the same than eq(68). Let us study if both expressions have the same residues. By multiplying eq(69) by $\mu + 2k + 1$ and taking the limit $\mu \to -(2n+1)$ we obtain:

$$Res\left\{\int_0^{\rho_2}\right\} = \frac{1}{2\pi}(-t)^{-n}\frac{(-1)^n}{4^n(n!)^2} \quad , \tag{71}$$

On the other hand, by using that

$$Res\{\Gamma(-n)\} = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \quad , \tag{72}$$

we obtain for the residue of eq(68) the same expression eq(71). Thus, in eq(64) the poles disappear.

8. String Instabilities in Black Hole Spacetimes

The next step in studying the scattering processes at Planckian scales is to consider, since the beginning, a theory which is considered well suited for dealing with such huge energies and tiny lengths. So far, the theory of strings provides a consistent frame where to study the very high energy scattering processes. In particular, we will discuss the collision (or better the infall) of a fundamental string in the gravitational background of a charged black hole.

The study of the string dynamics in curved space-times, reveals new insights with respect to string propagation in flat space-time (see for example refs [29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34]).

The equations of motion and constraints for strings in curved spacetimes are highly non linear (and, in general, not exactly solvable). In ref [29], a method was proposed (the "strong field expansion") to study systematically (and approximately), the string dynamics in the strong curvature regime. In this method, one starts from an exact particular solution of the string equations in a given metric and then, one constructs a perturbative series around this solution. The space of solutions for the string coordinates is represented as

$$X^A(\sigma,\tau) = q^A(\sigma,\tau) + \eta^A(\sigma,\tau) + \xi^A(\sigma,\tau) + ... \quad , \tag{73}$$

$A = 0, ..., D-1$. Here $q^A(\sigma,\tau)$ is an exact solution of the string equations and $\eta^A(\sigma,\tau)$ obeys a linearized perturbation around $q^A(\sigma,\tau)$. $\xi^A(\sigma,\tau)$ is a solution of second perturbative order around $q^A(\sigma,\tau)$. Higher order perturbations can be considered systematically. A physically appealing starting solution is the center of mass motion of the string, $q^A(\tau)$, that is, the point particle (geodesic) motion. The world sheet time variable appears here naturally identified with the proper time of the center of mass trajectory. The space time geometry is treated *exactly*, and the string fluctuations around q^A are treated as perturbations. Even at the level of the zeroth order solution, gravitational effects including those of the singularities of the geometry are fully taken into account. This expansion corresponds to low energy excitations of the string as compared with the energy associated to the geometry. This corresponds to an expansion in powers of $(\alpha')^{1/2}$. Since $\alpha' = (l_{Planck})^2$, the expansion parameter turns out to be the dimensionless constant

$$g = l_{Planck}/R_c = 1/(l_{Planck}M) \quad , \tag{74}$$

where R_c characterizes the spacetime curvature and M is its associated mass (the black hole mass, or the mass of a closed universe in cosmological backgrounds). The expansion is well suited to describe strings in strong gravitational regimes (in most of the interesting situations one has clearly $g \ll 1$). The constraint equations are also expanded in perturbations. The classical (mass)^2 of the string is defined through the center of mass motion (or Hamilton - Jacobi equation). The conformal generators (or world - sheet two dimensional energy - momentum tensor) are bilinear in the fields $\eta^A(\sigma,\tau)$. [If this method is applied to flat spacetime, the zeroth order plus the first order fluctuations provide the exact solution of the string equations].

This method was first applied to cosmological (De Sitter) spacetimes. One of the results was that for large enough Hubble constant, the frequency of the lower string modes, i.e. those with $|n| < \alpha' m H$, (α' being the string tension and m its mass), becomes imaginary. This was further analysed [31,32] as the onset of a physical instability, in which the proper string size starts to grow (precisely like the expansion factor of the universe). The string modes couple with the background geometry in such a way that the string inflates with the universe itself. The same happens for strings in singular gravitational plane waves[33,34] (see also ref[17]), and the results of paper 35 show that this is a generic feature of strings near spacetime singularities.

In black hole spacetimes, such unstable features have been explored in Ref. 35. The string dynamics in black hole spacetimes is much more complicated to solve (even asymptotically and approximately). In ref [30], the study of string dynamics in a Schwarzschild black hole was started and the scattering problem was studied for large impact parameters. Stable oscillatory behavior of the string was found for the transversal (angular) components; scattering amplitudes, cross section and particle transmutation process were described, and explicitly computed in an expansion in $(R_s/b)^{D-3}$, R_s being the Schwarzschild radius and b the impact parameter. The aim of paper 35, was to find, and then to describe, the *unstable sector* of strings in black hole backgrounds. By unstable behavior, we mean here the following characteristic features: non oscillatory behavior in time, or the emergence of imaginary frequencies for some modes, accompanied of an infinite stretching of the proper string length. In addition, the spatial coordinates (some of its components) can become unbounded. Stable string behavior means the usual oscillatory propagation with real frequencies, (and the usual mode - particle interpretation), the fact that the proper string size does not blow up, and that the string modes remain well - behaved.

We express the first order string fluctuations η^μ, $(\mu = 0, ..., D-1)$ in D - dimensional Reissner - Nordström - De Sitter spacetime, as a Schrödinger type equation for the amplitudes $\Xi^\mu = q^R \eta^\mu$, q^R being the radial center of mass coordinate. We find the asymptotic behavior of the longitudinal and transverse string coordinates (Ξ^+, Ξ^-, Ξ^i) with $i = 2, ..., D-1$, at the spatial infinity, near the horizon and near the spacetime singularity. $+$ and $-$ stand for the longitudinal (temporal and radial) components respectively, and i for the transverse (angular) ones. We analyse first a head - on collision (angular momentum $L = 0$), that is, a radial infall of the string towards the black hole. Then, we analyse the full $L \neq 0$ situation. We consider Schwarzschild, Reissner - Nordström and De Sitter spacetimes (described here in static coordinates which allow a better comparison among the three cases). In all the situations (with and without angular momentum) and for the three cases we find the following results:

The time component Ξ^+ is *always stable* in the three regions (near infinity, the horizon and the singularity), and in the three cases (black holes and De Sitter spacetimes).

The radial component Ξ^- is *always unstable* in the three regions and in the three backgrounds. In the Schwarzschild case, the instability condition for the radial modes -

which develop imaginary frequencies near the horizon - can be expressed as

$$n < \frac{\alpha' m\sqrt{D-3}}{R_s}\left[D-2-\left(\frac{D-3}{2}\right)\frac{m^2}{E^2}\right]^2 \ , \tag{75}$$

where α', m and E are the string tension, string mass and energy respectively. The quantity within the square brackets is always positive, thus the lower modes develop imaginary frequencies when the typical string size $\alpha' m\sqrt{D-3}$ is larger than the horizon radius. Notice the similarity with the instability condition in De Sitter space, $n < \alpha' m/r_H$, r_H being the horizon radius.

In the Schwarzschild black hole, the transverse modes Ξ^i are stable (well behaved) everywhere including the spacetime singularity at $q^R = 0$. In the Reissner - Nordström (R-N) black hole, the transverse modes Ξ^i are stable at infinity and outside the horizon. Imaginary frequencies appear, however, inside a region from $r_- < q^R < r_+$ to $q^R \to 0$, where $r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}$, M and Q being the mass and charge of the black hole respectively. For the extreme black hole ($Q = M$), instabilities do not appear. There is a critical value of the electric charge of a Reissner - Nordström black hole, above which the string passing through the horizon passes from unstable to stable regime. In the De Sitter spacetime, the only stable mode is the temporal one (Ξ^+). All the spatial components exhibit instability, in agreement with the previous results in the cosmological context[29,31,32]. A summary of this analysis is given in Table 1.

Imaginary frequencies in the transverse string coordinates (Ξ^i) appear in the case in which the local gravity, i.e. $\partial_r a/2$, is negative (that is, repulsive effects). Here,

$$a(r) = 1 - (R_s/r)^{D-3} + (\tilde{Q}^2/r^2)^{D-3} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2 \ , \tag{76}$$

where $\tilde{Q}^{2(D-3)} = \frac{8\pi G Q^2}{(D-2)(D-3)}$, and Λ is the cosmological constant. That is why the transverse modes (Ξ^i) are well behaved in the Schwarzschild case, and outside the Reissner - Nordström event horizon. But close to $q^R \to 0$, $a'_{RN} < 0$ (Reissner - Nordström has a repulsive inner horizon), and the gravitational effect of the charge overwhelms that of the mass; in this case instabilities develop. In the Reissner - Nordström - De Sitter spacetime, unstable string behavior appears far away from the black hole where De Sitter solution dominates, and inside the black hole where the Reissner - Nordström solution dominates. For $M = 0$ and $Q = 0$, we recover the instability criterion[29,31] $\alpha' m\Lambda/6 > 1$ for large enough Hubble constant (this is in agreement with the criterion given in ref[36].

We find that in the black hole spacetimes, the transversal first order fluctuations (Ξ^i) near the space time singularity $q^R = 0$, obey a Schrödinger type equation (with τ playing the role of a spatial coordinate), with a potential $\gamma(\tau-\tau_0)^{-2}$, (where τ_0 is the proper time of arrival to the singularity at $q^R = 0$). The dependence on D and L is concentrated in the coefficient γ. Thus, the approach to the black hole singularity is like the motion of a particle in a potential $\gamma(\tau-\tau_0)^{-\beta}$, with $\beta = 2$. And, then, like the case $\beta = 2$ of strings in singular gravitational waves[33,34] (in which case the spacetime is simpler and the exact full string equations become linear). Here $\gamma > 0$ for strings in the Schwarzschild spacetime, for which we have regular solutions Ξ^i ; while $\gamma < 0$ for Reissner - Nordström, that is, in the case we have a singular potential and an unbounded behavior (negative powers in $(\tau - \tau_0)$) for Ξ^i_{RN}. The fact that the angular coordinates Ξ^i_{RN} become unbounded means that the string makes infinite turns around the spacetime singularity and remains trapped by it.

For $(\tau - \tau_0) \rightarrow 0$, the string is trapped by the black hole singularity. In Kruskal coordinates $(u_k(\sigma, \tau), v_k(\sigma, \tau))$, for the Schwarzschild black hole we find $\lim_{(\tau-\tau_0)\rightarrow 0} u_k v_k = \exp 2KC(\sigma)(\tau - \tau_0)^P$, where $K = (D-3)/(2R_s)$ is the surface gravity, $P > 0$ is a determined coefficient that depends on the D dimensions, and $C(\sigma)$ is determined by the initial state of the string. Thus $u_k v_k \rightarrow 1$ for $(\tau - \tau_0) \rightarrow 0$. The proper spatial string length at fixed $(\tau - \tau_0) \rightarrow 0$ grows like $(\tau - \tau_0)^{-(D-1)P}$.

It must be noticed that in cosmological inflationary backgrounds, the unstable behavior manifests itself as non - oscillatory in $(\tau - \tau_0)$ (exponential for $(\tau - \tau_0) \rightarrow \infty$, power - like for $(\tau - \tau_0) \rightarrow 0$); the string coordinates η^i are constant (i.e. functions of σ only), while the proper amplitudes Ξ^i grow like the expansion factor of the universe. In the black hole cases, and more generally, in the presence of spacetime singularities, all the characteristic features of string instability appear, but in addition the spatial coordinates η^i (or some of its components) become unbounded. That is, not only the amplitudes Ξ^i diverge, but also the string coordinates η^i, what appears as a typical feature of strings near the black hole singularities. A full description of the string behavior near the black hole singularity will be reported elsewhere[37].

It is also worth noting that we have considered m, the mass of the string, being different from zero, although to zeroth order the constraint equations are satisfied by a null geodesic rather than by a timelike one. To obtain the value of m one has to consider second order perturbations, however, since we were mainly concerned with the possibility of the string unstable behavior, and not its detailed trajectory, first order analysis is enough.

9. Formulation of the problem, Non-collinear collision and Discussion

de Vega and Sánchez[29] have obtained the equations of motion of fundamental strings in curved backgrounds by expanding the fluctuations of the string around a given particular solution of the problem (for example, the center of mass motion). For the case of a black hole background[30] with mass M, charge Q and cosmological constant Λ,

$$ds^2 = -a(r)(dX^0)^2 + a^{-1}(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2_{D-2} \ ,$$

$$a(r) = 1 - (R_s/r)^{D-3} + (\tilde{Q}^2/r^2)^{D-3} + \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 \ , \tag{77}$$

$$R_s^{D-3} = \frac{16\pi G M}{(D-2)\Omega_{D-1}} \quad , \quad \Omega_D = \frac{2\pi^{D/2}}{\Gamma(D/2)} \quad , \quad \tilde{Q}^{2(D-3)} = \frac{8\pi G Q^2}{(D-2)(D-3)} \ ,$$

the equations of motion of the first order fluctuations read

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2}+n^2\right]\eta_n^A(\tau)+2A_B^A(\tau)\dot{\eta}_n^B(\tau)+B_B^A(\tau)\eta_n^B(\tau)=0\ . \tag{78}$$

Here we have expanded the first order string perturbations in a Fourier transform

$$\eta^A(\sigma,\tau)=\sum_n e^{in\sigma}\eta_n^A(\tau)\ . \tag{79}$$

η^A being the vector

$$\eta=\begin{pmatrix}\eta^0\\ \eta^*\\ \eta^i\end{pmatrix}\quad ,\quad i=2,3,.....,D-1 \tag{80}$$

and $A_B^A(\tau)$ and $B_B^A(\tau)$ are the components of the following matrices

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{a'\dot{q}^R}{2a} & -\frac{\alpha' E a'}{2a} & \mathbf{O} \\ -\frac{\alpha' E a'}{2a} & -\frac{a'\dot{q}^R}{2a} & q^R\dot{q}^j \\ \mathbf{O} & \frac{\dot{q}^i}{q^R}a & \frac{\dot{q}^R}{q^R}\delta^{ij}+q^i\dot{q}^j \end{pmatrix}\ , \tag{81}$$

$$B=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\alpha' E a''\dot{q}^R}{a} & \mathbf{O} \\ 0 & -S & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & 2\dot{q}^i\dot{\left(\frac{a}{q^R}\right)} & \left(\frac{\alpha' L}{(q^R)^2}\right)^2\delta^{ij} \end{pmatrix}\ , \tag{82}$$

with

$$S=\frac{a''}{2a}\left[(q^R)^2+\alpha'^2E^2\right]-\left(\frac{\alpha' L}{(q^R)^2}\right)^2 a\ , \tag{83}$$

Here the dot stands for $\partial/\partial\tau$ and $' = \partial/\partial q^R$. The solution for the string coordinates is given by the expansion

$$X^\mu=q^\mu+\eta^\mu+\xi^\mu+....\quad ,\quad \mu=0,1,....,D-1\ . \tag{84}$$

$q^\mu(\tau)$ being the center of mass coordinates (zeroth order solutions), which follow the geodesics of the background space-time, i.e.

$$\dot{q}^0=\frac{\alpha' E}{a}\quad ,\quad (\dot{q}^i)^2=\left(\frac{\alpha' L}{(q^R)^2}\right)^2\quad ,\quad \frac{(\dot{q}^R)^2}{\alpha'^2 a}+\frac{L^2}{(q^R)^2}+m^2-\frac{E^2}{a}=0\ , \tag{85}$$

where we have identified the proper time of the geodesic with the world-sheet τ - coordinate.

To study the equation of motion of the first fluctuations, eq (78), it is convenient to apply a transformation to the vector η^A. Let us propose

$$\eta_n = G\Xi_n \ , \tag{86}$$

where the matrix G is chosen to eliminate the term in the first derivative in eq (78), i.e.

$$G = P\exp\{-\int^{\tau} A(\tau')d\tau'\} \ , \tag{87}$$

where P is a constant normalizing matrix. Thus, eq (78) transforms into

$$\ddot{\Xi}_n + G^{-1}(n^2 + B - \dot{A} - A^2)G\Xi_n = 0 \ , \tag{88}$$

which is a Scrödinger-type equation with τ playing the role of the spatial coordinate.

It is simple to analyse the first order fluctuations in the transversal coordinates. In fact, for $i > 2$, the matrices A and B given by eqs (81) and (82) are diagonal,

$$A^{ij} = \frac{\dot{q}^R}{q^R}\delta^{ij} \quad ; \quad B^{ij} = \left(\frac{\alpha' L}{(q^R)^2}\right)^2 \delta^{ij} \ , \tag{89}$$

then the equations for the first order fluctuations are

$$\ddot{\Xi}^i_n + (n^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha' L}{(q^R)^2}\right)^2 - \ddot{q}^R/q^R)\Xi^i_n = 0 \ . \tag{90}$$

By use of the geodesic equations (85) we can rewrite eq (90) as

$$\ddot{\Xi}^i_n + \left[n^2 + \frac{(\alpha')^2}{2}\left(\frac{a'(q^R)m^2}{q^R} + 2\left(\frac{L}{(q^R)^2}\right)^2\left(1 - a + \frac{1}{2}a' q^R\right)\right)\right]\Xi^i_n = 0 \ . \tag{91}$$

We can still re-write the above equations as

$$\ddot{\Xi}^\mu_n + [n^2 + \lambda^\mu]\Xi^\mu_n = 0 \ , \tag{92}$$

where

$$\Xi^\mu_n = (\Xi^+_n, \Xi^-_n, \Xi^i_n) \quad ; \quad \lambda^\mu = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-, \lambda^i) \ , \tag{93}$$

and

$$\lambda^{\pm} = \lambda_{\pm} - n^2 \quad , \quad \lambda^i = \frac{(\alpha' m)^2}{2}\frac{a'(q^R)}{q^R} \ . \tag{94}$$

Thus we are able to analyse now the particular cases we are interested in:

9.1 Schwarzschild black hole

Plugging eq (77) into (91) we obtain

$$\lambda^i_{Sch} = \frac{(\alpha')^2}{2} R_s^{D-3} (q^R)^{1-D} \left[(D-3)m^2 + (D-1)\left(\frac{L}{q^R}\right)^2 \right] \ . \tag{95}$$

We observe that for $D \geq 4$, $\lambda^i_{Sch} > 0$. Thus producing stable first order fluctuations. In fact, when we study the time evolution we obtain

$$\lim_{q^R \to 0} \lambda^i_{Sch} = \frac{2(D-1)}{(D+1)^2} (\tau - \tau_0)^{-2} \ , \tag{96}$$

where we have integrated the expression (85),

$$\alpha'(\tau - \tau_0) = \int_{q_0^R}^{q^R} \frac{dq^R}{\sqrt{E^2 - m^2 a(q^R) - a\left(\frac{L}{q^R}\right)^2}} \ , \tag{97}$$

in order to obtain the behavior

$$q^R_S(\tau) \to \left[\frac{(D+1)}{2} \alpha' R_s^{(D-3)/2} L(\tau - \tau_0) \right]^{2/(D+1)} \ . \tag{98}$$

Eq (95) has, then, a power-like solution in the limit $q^R \to 0$,

$$\Xi^i_{n,Sch}(\tau) \sim [n(\tau - \tau_0)]^P \quad ; \quad P^i_{Sch} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{2(D-1)}{(D+1)^2}} \ . \tag{99}$$

That vanishes for $(\tau - \tau_0) \to 0$.

9.2 De Sitter spacetime

This case is very interesting because replacing expressions (77) into the first order fluctuations equations with $L \neq 0$, eq (91), we obtain that the L dependence disappears. Giving, in fact, the equation

$$\ddot{\Xi}^i_n + \left[n^2 - \frac{(\alpha' m)^2}{6} \Lambda \right] \Xi^i_n = 0 \ . \tag{100}$$

The solution to this equation are exponentials in the proper time, τ, suggesting the occurrence of instabilities.

The fact that the solutions should be L-independent, could have been guessed from the symmetries of the De Sitter space. This metric has not preferred point to refer the angular moment to as in the black hole cases (there is not singularity at $r = 0$). This allows us to say that the components Ξ^-, Ξ^+ and Ξ^i will behave as the ones already studied for the case $L = 0$.

9.3 Reissner-Nordström black hole

From the metric coefficients (77) and its first derivative we find that eq (91) reads

$$\ddot{\Xi}^i_n + \tag{101}$$

$$+\left\{n^2 + \frac{(\alpha')^2}{2}\left[\frac{(D-3)m^2}{(q^R)^2 x}(1-\frac{2\beta}{x}) + \frac{L^2}{x(q^R)^4}\left((D-1)-\frac{2\beta}{x}(D-2)\right)\right]\right\}\Xi^i_n = 0\ .$$

We observe that as $q^R \to \infty$, the term proportional to L^2 vanish faster than the other terms. Thus, in this limit we recover the $L = 0$ results.

As we approach to the black hole, and arrive to the horizon, we have for the Schwarzschild black hole

$$\lim_{q^R \to q^R_H} \lambda^i_{H,Sch} = \frac{(\alpha')^2}{2}\left[\frac{(D-3)m^2}{R_s^2} + (D-1)\frac{L^2}{R_s^4}\right]\ , \tag{102}$$

which is definite positive, and does not produce instabilities.

For the extreme Reissner-Nordström black hole we have,

$$\lim_{q^R \to q^R_H} \lambda^i_{H,ERN} = (\alpha')^2 2^{4/(D-3)}\frac{L^2}{R_s^4}\ . \tag{103}$$

Again, it is always positive. Thus, we see that the instabilities do not appear yet. The time-dependence of the solutions close to the horizon will be oscillatory with the squared frequency given by $\lambda^i_{H,ERN}$.

However, the picture changes when we go closer to the singularity. For the Reissner-Nordström black hole, when $q^R \to 0$, we have

$$\lim_{q^R \to 0} \lambda^i_{0,RN} = -(\alpha')^2\frac{(D-2)\beta}{x^2}\frac{L^2}{(q^R)^4}\ . \tag{104}$$

As this squared frequency takes negative values allows the possibility that instabilities develop in the string transversal coordinates. In order to find the time dependence in the coordinates we integrate first the center of mass motion, eq (85). Then, as $q^R \to 0$, we obtain

$$\alpha'(\tau-\tau_0) \sim \frac{x}{L\sqrt{\beta}}\frac{(q^R)^2}{(D-1)}\ . \tag{105}$$

Plugging this expression into eq (104), we have

$$\lim_{q^R \to 0} \lambda^i_{0,RN} \to -\frac{(D-2)}{(D-1)^2}(\tau-\tau_0)^{-2}\ . \tag{106}$$

And the solution of the first order fluctuations is again a power-like one,

$$\Xi^i_{n,RN}(\tau) \sim [n(\tau-\tau_0)]^P \quad ; \quad P^i_{RN} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{(D-2)}{(D-1)^2}} \ . \tag{107}$$

We have here that the solution with minus sign in front of the square root produces an unbounded solution as $(\tau - \tau_0) \to 0$, thus, suggesting the existence of instabilities.

It is worth to remark that the solutions (107) and (99) for the time dependence of the transversal coordinates for the Reissner-Nordström and Schwarzschild black holes respectively, are independent of L. They are, although, different from those of the case $L = 0$ (see Ref. 35). This is so because even if the L dependence cancels out from the final equations (107) and (108), the approach to the singularity, $q^R \to 0$, is different if $L \neq 0$, thus producing different final coefficients.

Another interesting feature of the equations for the transversal first order modes, is that for the black hole cases (Schwarzschild or Reissner-Nordström; orbit of the string center of mass with or without angular momentum), the time dependence of λ^i appears to be $(\tau - \tau_0)^{-2}$ as $q^R \to 0$. The behavior of λ^i as a function of q^R is different for each case, but the τ - dependence of the orbit in each case exactly compensates for such difference.

Thus, for the linearized string fluctuations, the approach to the black hole singularity corresponds to the case $\beta = 2$ of the motion of a particle in a potential $\gamma(\tau - \tau_0)^{-\beta}$. This is like the case of strings in singular plane - wave backgrounds.[33,34] In fact, the linearized first order string fluctuations produce a one - dimensional Schrödinger equation, with τ playing the role of a spatial coordinate. The potential term in eq (91), can be written fully τ - dependent, as we have seen, by plugging into it the center of mass trajectory, $q^R(\tau)$.(In the case of gravitational plane waves, the spacetime is simpler than in the black hole one, and the exact full string equations become linear).

The solution of eq (91) with a potential proportional to $\gamma(\tau - \tau_0)^{-2}$ can be given in terms of Bessel functions,

$$\Xi^i_n(\tau) = \sqrt{(\tau-\tau_0)} \left\{ V^i_n J_\nu[n(\tau-\tau_0)] + W^i_n J_{-\nu}[n(\tau-\tau_0)] \right\} \ . \tag{108}$$

Where V^i_n and W^i_n are arbitrary constants coefficients and

$$\nu = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \gamma} \quad \text{i.e. ,} \quad \nu = P^\mu - 1/2 \ , \tag{109}$$

Where $P^\mu(D, \beta)$'s are defined in Section IV of Ref. 35.

For $\gamma < 0$ we have Bessel functions (those with negative index) with a divergent behavior as $(\tau - \tau_0) \to 0$, indicating the existence of string instabilities. We would also to stress that for black holes, what determinates the possibility of instabilities is not the type of singularity (Reissner-Nordström or Schwarzschild), nor how it is approached ($L = 0$ or $L \neq 0$), because we have seen that the time dependence of the potential is always $(\tau - \tau_0)^{-2}$, but the sign of the coefficient γ in front of it, i.e. the attractive character of the potential $(\tau - \tau_0)^{-2}$. Thus, we can conclude that whenever we have big enough repulsive effects in a gravitational background, instabilities in the propagation of strings on the spacetime background will appear. The coefficient γ is given by

$$\gamma_{Sch} = \begin{cases} \frac{2(D-3)}{(D-1)^2} & , \quad L = 0 \\ \\ \frac{2(D-1)}{(D+1)^2} & , \quad L \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

for the Schwarzschild black hole, and

$$\gamma_{RN} = \begin{cases} -\frac{2(D-3)}{(D-2)^2} & , \quad L = 0 \\ \\ \frac{-2(D-2)}{(D-1)^2} & , \quad L \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

for the Reissner-Nordström black hole.

Near the spacetime singularity, the dependence on the D spacetime dimensions is concentrated in γ. Notice the attractive singular character of the potential $\gamma(\tau - \tau_0)^{-2}$, for the Reissner-Nordström black hole, in agreement with the singular behavior of the string near $q^R = 0$; while for the Schwarzschild black hole γ is positive, and the string solutions Ξ^i are well behaved there.

The approach to the black hole singularity is better analysed in terms of the Kruskal coordinates (u_k, v_k)

$$u_k = e^{K u_{Sch}} \quad , \quad v_k = e^{K v_{Sch}}$$

u and v being null coordinates, and K the surface gravity of the black hole ($K = (D-3)/(2R_s)$ for Schwarzschild). We also have ($C^{\pm}(\sigma)$ being coefficients determined by the initial state of the string)

$$u_k(\sigma, \tau) = \exp K \left[C^+(\sigma)(\tau - \tau_0)^{P^+} - C^-(\sigma)(\tau - \tau_0)^{-|P^-|} \right]$$

$$v_k(\sigma, \tau) = \exp K \left[C^+(\sigma)(\tau - \tau_0)^{P^+} + C^-(\sigma)(\tau - \tau_0)^{-|P^-|} \right] \tag{110}$$

and thus, $u_k v_k \to 1$ for $(\tau - \tau_0) \to 0$. That is, for $(\tau - \tau_0) \to 0$, the string approaches the spacetime singularity $u_k v_k = 1$, and it is trapped by it. The proper spatial length element of the string at fixed $(\tau - \tau_0) \to 0$, between (σ, τ) and $(\sigma + d\sigma, \tau)$, stretches infinitely as

$$ds^2_{(\tau - \tau_0) \to 0} \to \left(C^-(\sigma) \right)'^2 d\sigma^2 (\tau - \tau_0)^{-(D-1)|P^-|} \ , \tag{111}$$

where P^- is given by eq (71) of Ref. 35. Here, τ_0 is the (finite) proper falling time of the string into the black hole singularity.

The fact that the angular coordinates Ξ^i become unbounded in the Reissner - Nordström case, means that the string makes infinite turns around the spacetime singularity and remains trapped by it.

It is also worth noting that we have considered m, the mass of the string being different from zero although to zeroth order the constraint equations are satisfied by a null geodesic rather than a timelike one. To obtain the value of m one has to consider second order perturbations, however, since we were mainly concerned with the possibility of the string unstable behavior, first order analysis is enough. The same conclusions can be drawn for the quantum propagation of strings. The τ dependence is the same because this is formally described by a Schrödinger equation with a potential $\gamma(\tau-\tau_0)^{-2}$, the coefficients of the solutions being quantum operators instead of C - numbers. The τ evolution of the string near the black hole singularity is fully determined by the spacetime geometry, while the σ - dependence (contained in the overall coefficients) is fixed by the state of the string.

For the modes Ξ^-, Ξ^+ and Ξ^i we can conclude that they should behave as in the case $L = 0$ far from the black hole, where the influence of the angular momentum vanishes. Then, Ξ^+ and Ξ^i will oscillate with bounded amplitude outside the horizon while Ξ^- will present an unbounded behavior. The approach to the singularity with $L \neq 0$ should not change qualitatively from the picture for $L = 0$. The analysis can be also made in terms of the geodesic orbit followed by the center of mass of the string. For a given energy E, there is a critical impact parameter b_c which determines whether the string will fall or not into the black hole. From our results here for $L = 0$ (see Table 1), and for $L \neq 0$, we can draw the following picture: For large impact parameters $b > b_c$, the transversal, Ξ^i, and temporal, Ξ^+, modes will be stable, while the radial modes, Ξ^-, begin to suffer instabilities. For small impact parameters, $b < b_c$, the string will fall into the black hole and, for a Reissner-Nordström background, also the transversal coordinates suffer instabilities.

It can be noticed that in cosmological inflationary backgrounds, for which unstable string behavior appears when $(\tau - \tau_0) \to 0$, the string coordinates η^i, remain bounded. In the black hole cases, all the characteristic features of string instabilities appear, but, in addition, the string coordinates η^i become unbounded near the $r = 0$ singularity. This happens to be a typical behavior of strings near spacetime singularities, describing the fact that the string is trapped by it.

10. Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the Directorate General for Science, Research and Development of the Commission of the European Communities. C.O.L. was financially supported by the Dirección General de Investigación Científica y Técnica of the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia de España and CICYT AEN93-0474. C.O.L. also thanks the DEMIRM - Observatoire de Paris, where part of this work was done, for kind hospitality and working facilities.

Table 1 Regimes of string stability in black hole and De Sitter spacetimes: Here stable means well behaved string fluctuations and the usual oscillatory behavior with real frequencies. Unstable behavior corresponds to unbounded amplitudes $(\eta^{\pm}, \eta^{i})$ with the emergence of non oscillatory behavior or imaginary frequencies, accompanied by the infinite string stretching of the proper string length. η^{+},η^{-} and η^{i}, $(i = 2, ..., D-1)$, are the temporal, radial and angular (or transverse) string components respectively.

Region	Mode	Schwarzschild	Reissner-Nordström	De Sitter
$q^R \to 0$	η^+	stable	stable	stable
	η^-	unstable	unstable	unstable
	η^i	unstable	unstable	unstable
$q^R \to q^R_H$	η^+	stable	stable	stable
	η^-	unstable	unstable / stable	unstable
	η^i	stable	stable	unstable
$q^R \to \infty$	η^+	stable	stable	stable
	η^-	unstable	unstable	unstable
	η^i	stable	stable	unstable

References

1. G.'t Hooft, *Phys. Lett. B* **198**, 61 (1987)
2. H.J.de Vega and N.Sánchez, *Nucl. Phys. B* **317**, 731 (1989)
3. H.J.de Vega and N.Sánchez, *Nucl. Phys. B* **317**, 706 (1989)
4. D.Amati, M.Ciafaloni and G. Veneziano, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **3**, 1615 (1988)
5. D.Gross and P.Mende, *Nucl. Phys. B* **303**, 407 (1988)
6. D.Amati and C.Klimčik, *Phys. Lett. B* **210**, 92 (1988)
7. G.Veneziano, "Strings and Gravitation", talk presented at the *5th Marcel Grossmann meeting* (Perth, Aug. 1988), D.G.Blair and M.J.Buckinghan eds. (World Sci., Singapore, 1989), p. 173.
8. G.T.Horowitz and A.R.Steif, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 260 (1990) Ibid *Phys. Rev. D* **42**, 1950 (1990)
9. H.J. de Vega and N.Sánchez, *Phys. Lett. B* **244**, 215 (1990) Ibid *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 1517 (1990)
10. J.Garriga and E.Verdaguer, *Phys. Rev. D* **43**, 391 (1991)
11. K. Hayashi and T. Samura, gr-qc/9404027; ibid hep-th/940513.
12. P. C. Aichelburg and H. Balasin, gr-qc/9407018.
13. P.C.Aichelburg and R.U.Sexl, *Gen. Relativ. Grav.* **2**, 303 (1971)
14. C.O.Lousto and N.Sánchez, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **5**, 915 (1990)
15. C.O.Lousto and N.Sánchez, *Nucl. Phys. B* **383**, 377 (1992)
16. M.E.V.Costa and H.J. de Vega, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **211**, 223 (1991) Ibid, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **211**, 235 (1991)
17. C. O. Lousto and N. Sánchez, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 4520 (1992)
18. T.Dray and G.'t Hooft, *Nucl. Phys. B* **253**, 173 (1985)
19. C.O.Lousto and N.Sánchez, *Phys. Lett. B* **232**, 462 (1989)
20. C.W.Misner, K.S.Thorne and J.A.Wheeler, *Gravitation*, (W.H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, 1973).
21. V.Ferrari and P.Pendenza, *Gen. Relativ. Grav.* **22**, 1105 (1990)
22. C.O.Lousto and N.Sánchez, *Phys. Lett. B* **220**, 55 (1989)
23. K.Sfetsos, hep-th/9408169.
24. H. Balasin and H. Nachbagauer, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **10**, 2271 (1993) Ibid gr-qc/9312028; ibid gr-qc/9405053.
25. C.O.Lousto and N.Sánchez, *Nucl. Phys. B* **355**, 231 (1991)
26. G. Veneziano, *DST Workshop on String Theory*, H. S. Mani and R. Ramachandran eds. (World Sci. Publ. Co. 1988) p. 1.
27. G.'t Hooft, *Nucl. Phys. B* **304**, 867 (1988)
28. A. Erdelyi et al., *Tables of Integral Transforms*, Vol.II, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1954).

29. H.J.de Vega and N.Sánchez, *Phys. Lett. B* **197**, 320 (1987)
30. H.J.de Vega and N.Sánchez, *Nucl. Phys. B* **309**, 552 (1988) Ibid *Nucl. Phys. B* **309**, 577 (1988)
31. N.Sánchez and G.Veneziano, *Nucl. Phys. B* **333**, 253 (1990)
32. M.Gasperini, N.Sánchez and G.Veneziano, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **6**, 3853 (1991) Ibid *Nucl. Phys. B* **364**, 365 (1991)
33. H.J.de Vega and N.Sánchez, *Phys. Rev. D* **45**, 2783 (1992)
34. H.J.de Vega, M.Ramón-Medrano and N.Sánchez, *Nucl. Phys. B* **374**, 425 (1992)
35. C. O. Lousto and N. Sánchez, *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 4498 (1993)
36. M.Gasperini, *Gen. Relativ. Grav.* **24**, 219 (1992)
37. C.O.Lousto and N.Sánchez, in preparation.